

**OMEGA RTPO CAPITAL PROGRAM SCORING CRITERIA**  
**(90 POINTS MAXIMUM SCORE FOR APPLICATION)**

<b>ALL PROJECTS (50 POINTS MAXIMUM)</b>	
<b>Median Household Income (Compared to RTPO Average)</b>	<b>Max: 10</b>
110% or greater	2
100% to 109%	4
90% to 99%	6
80% to 89%	8
79% or less	10
<b>Transportation Systems</b>	<b>Max: 10</b>
Road Improvement	10
Bridge Improvement	10
Alternative Transportation	10
System Upgrade (such as turn lanes)	5
New Roadway or Through Lanes	0
<b>Level of Project Planning</b>	<b>Max: 10</b>
Is within an OMEGA developed plan or planning document <sup>(1)</sup>	10
Is consistent with Goals and Objectives of OMEGA's Long Range Transportation & Development Plan	5
None of the above	0
<b>Equivalent Property Damage Only (EPDO)</b>	<b>Max: 20</b>
More Than 25	20
20 to 24	16
15 to 19	12
10 to 14	8
5 to 9	4
Less Than 4	0
<b>OR</b>	
<b>OMEGA Regional Roadway Safety Plan County Ranking</b>	<b>Max: 20</b>
1 to 10	20
11 to 20	16
21 to 30	12
31 to 40	8
41 to 50	4
<b>OR</b>	
<b>OMEGA Regional Roadway Safety Plan Systemic Ranking</b>	<b>Max: 20</b>
5	20
4	16
3	12
2	8

1	4
<b>OR</b>	
<b>Bike/Pedestrian Crashes or Fatality Over 10 Years</b>	<b>Max: 20</b>
Fatality	20
10 or more	16
7 to 9	12
4 to 6	8
1 to 3	4
0	0
<b>OR</b>	
<b>Included in a Safety Study</b>	20
<b>Letter from Safety Professional<sup>(2)</sup></b>	5
<b>Sponsoring Agency has participated in Transportation Advisory Committee (TAC) Meetings within 1 year.</b>	1 (Bonus)

(1): Is within the OMEGA Long Range Transportation & Development Plan, Regional Transportation Improvement Plan, Regional Roadway Safety Plan, Tri-County Active Transportation Plan, or School Travel Plan  
 (2): Safety Professionals include Fire/Police Chief, Officers that were directly involved with a serious accident at the location, County Engineer, School Transportation Director, or Hospital Directors

ROAD PROJECTS (40 POINTS MAXIMUM)		
<b>Average Daily Traffic (ADT)</b>		<b>Max: 20</b>
More Than 3000		20
2001 to 3000		16
1001 to 2000		12
500 to 1000		8
Less Than 500		4
<b>OR</b>		
<b>Functional Class</b>		<b>Max: 20</b>
Principal Arterial		20
Minor Arterial		16
Major Collector		12
Minor Collector		8
Local <sup>(3)</sup>		4
<b>Pavement Condition Rating</b>		<b>Max: 20</b>
Verry Poor (0 to 40)		20
Poor (41 to 65)		10
Fair (66 to 75)		5
Good (76 tyo 100)		0
<b>OR</b>		
<b>Years Since Last Improved</b>		<b>Max: 20</b>
More Than 14 Years		20
10 to 14 Years		10
5 to 10 Years		5
Less Than 5 Years		0

(3): Elligibility contingent on section 3.3.1 or 3.3.2 in RTPO Allocation Policy



BRIDGE PROJECTS (40 POINTS MAXIMUM)	
<b>General Appraisal</b>	<b>Max: 20</b>
≤ 4	20
5	10
6	5
> 6	0
<b>Direct Access to Critical Facilities (check all that apply)</b>	<b>Max: 10</b>
School	1
Medical	1
Fire/Police	1
Utilities	1
Residential	1
Primary Access	5
<b>Federal Aid Detour Route Length</b>	<b>Max: 10</b>
10 or More Miles	10
5 to 10 Miles	8
2 to 5 Miles	6
0 to 2 Miles	4

<b>ALTERNATIVE TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS (40 POINTS MAXIMUM)</b>	
<b>Population Served<sup>(4)</sup></b>	<b>Max: 10</b>
More Than 5000	10
2501 to 5000	8
1001 to 2500	6
250 to 1000	4
Less Than 250	2
<b>OR</b>	
<b>Primary mode of Transportation</b>	10
<b>Connectivity (check all that apply)</b>	<b>Max: 20</b>
Residential, Parks, or Trails	5
Business/Commercial/Employment District	5
School/Medical/Other Critical Facility	5
Addresses Evidence of Undeveloped Foot Traffic	5
<b>Condition</b>	<b>Max: 10</b>
Repair/Replace	10
New	5

(4): Munciple Population or Letter from CVB stating estimated number of tourists and citizens